An open-access, very-low-field MRI system for posture-dependent $^3$He human lung imaging
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A B S T R A C T

We describe the design and operation of an open-access, very-low-field, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) system for in vivo hyperpolarized $^3$He imaging of the human lungs. This system permits the study of lung function in both horizontal and upright postures, a capability with important implications in pulmonary physiology and clinical medicine, including asthma and obesity. The imager uses a bi-planar $B_0$ coil design that produces an optimized 65 G (6.5 mT) magnetic field for $^3$He MRI at 210 kHz. Three sets of bi-planar coils produce the $x$, $y$, and $z$ magnetic field gradients while providing a 79-cm inter-coil gap for the imaging subject. We use solenoidal Q-spoiled RF coils for operation at low frequencies, and are able to exploit insignificant sample loading to allow for pre-tuning/matching schemes and for accurate pre-calibration of flip angles. We obtain sufficient SNR to acquire 2D $^3$He images with up to 2.8 mm resolution, and present initial 2D and 3D $^3$He images of human lungs in both supine and upright orientations. $^3$H MRI can also be performed for diagnostic and calibration reasons.

1. Introduction

In recent years, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of inhaled, hyperpolarized noble gas ($^3$He and $^{129}$Xe) [1,2] has become a powerful method for studying lung structure and function [3,4]. This technique has been used with conventional, clinical MRI instruments to make quantitative images of human ventilation [5,6], to acquire $^3$He diffusion maps that yield images of lung gas-space dimensions [7,8], and to map the spatial variation of $^3$He $T_1$ relaxation to provide images of alveolar O$_2$ concentration [9,10], which has recently been directly linked to the physiologically relevant ventilation/perfusion ratio (V/Q) [10]. Imaging of hyperpolarized $^3$He has also found wide applications in the study of many lung diseases, including emphysema [11,12], cystic fibrosis [13] and asthma [14,15]. In all these clinical studies, subjects have been imaged while horizontal, generally supine, due to the design of clinical MRI systems based on a solenoid magnet with narrow inner diameter.

Numerous studies have investigated the effects of posture on pulmonary ventilation and perfusion [16–22], however, these studies all gave global measures of the influence of posture and were incapable of providing regional information. Imaging techniques have been explored in recent times to try and overcome this limitation. Single-photon-emission computed tomography (SPECT) allows subjects to inhale tracers while vertical, however, subjects must still be positioned horizontally prior to imaging [23–25]. $^3$He MRI has shown posture-related effects on lung volume even within the confines of a clinical MRI scanner: variable tissue compression and alveolar size variation has been observed via $^3$He diffusion measurements as a function of subject orientation (prone, supine, left and right decubitus) [26]. Positron emission tomography (PET) has been used to directly measure ventilation and pulmonary perfusion [27,28], however, like in a clinical MRI scanner, subjects can only be imaged while prone or supine, and the restricted space prevents many diseased subjects or those with disabilities from being imaged.

An open-access system allowing MRI while the subject is in different body orientations and postures, including vertical, can have a variety of beneficial effects for the subject, and also provide important physiological information [29–31]. For pulmonary physiology in particular, there is a need for non-invasive mapping of the regional distribution of ventilation and perfusion as a function of body orientation and posture [16–20,32–35]. Additionally, significant questions relating to the care and survival of patients with severe lung diseases such as asthma or acute respiratory distress syndrome relate to postural effects [21,22,36] that an open-access lung MRI could help address. As obesity becomes a national health...
concern, studying the effect of increased body mass on ventilation, perfusion and lung volume also becomes vital [37], and would be better enabled by an open-access lung MRI. The need for open-access imaging of lung function has recently also been recognized by the Iowa Comprehensive Lung Imaging Center at the University of Iowa, which has announced a collaboration with Siemens Medical Systems to produce a novel vertically-oriented, open, low-field (0.1–0.2 T) MRI system for this same purpose [38].

The advances in pulmonary MRI using inhaled \(^3\)He and \(^{129}\)Xe have been enabled by the high nuclear spin polarization, >10%, obtainable from laser-based optical pumping methods [1,2]. This enhanced polarization, which can be ~10,000 \(^3\)He molecules, due to thermal equilibrium at 1 T, yields a magnetization of the same order as that found in water when placed in a large magnetic field. Furthermore, as the noble gas spins are polarized via the optical procedure prior to imaging, a large applied magnetic field, \(B_0\), is not required for high-resolution MRI [39,40]. Note that the NMR signal voltage from a hyperpolarized sample scales linearly with \(B_0\) [41], nonetheless, sufficient SNR for high-resolution MRI can be achieved at \(B_0 \approx 10–100 \text{ mT} \) due to reduced sample (tissue) noise, and increased \(T_2\) and \(T_2^*\) because of the reduced effect of susceptibility-induced background field gradients. Thus, as we have shown previously [39,40,42,43,31], hyperpolarized \(^3\)He MRI can be performed at \(B_0\) substantially lower than in clinical scanners, \(\sim 10 \text{ mT} \) (100 G), with SNR and resolution for lung imaging approaching that obtained in clinical scanners. This fact enables us to exploit dramatic simplifications in magnet technology in the very-low-field regime (\(\sim 10 \text{ mT}\)), such as open-access electromagnets, that enable a walk-in, open scanner where a subject can sit, stand, lie horizontal or recline at any angle [42,31].

We first demonstrated hyperpolarized gas MRI at very-low-field with glass \(^3\)He cells and inflated rat lungs in a 30 cm-bore solenoidal resistive magnet operating at \(\sim 2 \text{ mT} \) (20 G) [39,40]. This system achieved \(4 \text{ mm} \) image resolution and benefited from the reduction of susceptibility-induced gradients in rat lungs, where a \(^3\)He \(T_2^* \) of \(\sim 100 \text{ ms} \) was measured, which compared favorably to that measured at 1.5 T (\(\sim 5 \text{ ms}\) ) [39]. More recently, several groups have performed in vivo human lung \(^3\)He MRI at fields of 0.15 T [44], 0.1 T [45], 15 mT [46], and 3 mT [47,48] using clinical scanners with resistive [45,48] or ramped-down superconducting [46] magnets. One study has been performed with a subject standing in a resistive magnet system operating at 3 mT [47]. As a first step to hyperpolarized \(^3\)He orientation-dependent imaging, we assembled a prototype, open-access human imaging system and acquired preliminary human lung images in two postures (vertical and supine) at \(B_0 \sim 4 \text{ mT} \) [42,43].

The open-access imager described here has greatly improved performance, in particular \(B_0\) homogeneity, gradient performance, noise filtering, and gradient coil heat dissipation. This system will enable quantitative, high-resolution maps of lung ventilation and function with the subject in different body orientations and provide pulmonary physiology with a powerful tool for studying posture-related effects. In this manuscript, we describe the components of this system in detail, including several novel subsystem designs. We show NMR and MRI performance characteristics for the system and initial \(^3\)He human lung images. We also discuss unique challenges and advantages associated with imaging at unconventionally low frequencies (200–300 kHz) with our apparatus and assess the potential of this imager as a powerful tool in the investigation of pulmonary dynamics and pathophysiology.

2. System overview

A simplified schematic of the open-access imager (OAI) is shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 2 shows the fully assembled OAI electromagnet and gradient coils, as well as the open-access imaging region and the defined imaging axes. The lower operating frequency and the smaller \(B_0\) places a different set of physical and technical requirements and constraints for the individual components of the OAI compared to a conventional high-field system. In the following sections we detail the designs and specifications of these components and highlight some of the more novel aspects of the OAI.

2.1. Open-access \(B_0\) electromagnet

The open-access electromagnet design goals were an inter-coil separation of \(\sim 90 \text{ cm}\) allowing walk-in access, an imaging region of 40 cm diameter spherical volume to cover the lungs, a 100 ppm \(B_0\) homogeneity, and the capability to generate up to a 10 mT \(B_0\) field. To achieve these goals while maintaining a small physical footprint, we employed a four-coil, bi-planar, 8th order magnet design. A theoretical study by Morgan et al. found that such designs can have a large inter-coil separation and produce a homogeneous magnetic field over a large region, making them well-suited for multi-orientation imaging of humans [49]. We believe this is the first experimental realization of such a coil design for human MRI. Fig. 3 shows a theoretical plot of \(B_0\) homogeneity
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**Fig. 1.** Schematic of the open-access human MRI system. The major components include an MRI research console (left), electronics components for RF and gradient pulse generation and \(B_0\) control (center), and the \(B_0\) gradient and RF coils, located inside an RF-shielding Faraday cage (right). The resonance box, TR switch and preamplifier are located inside the Faraday cage, but are drawn as shown for clarity.
obtained using Biot Savart software [Ripplon Software, Inc.]. One particular advantage to this arrangement is its relative insensitivity to positional misalignments. More typical four-coil geometries based on the distribution of currents on a sphere (e.g., “tetracoil” [50]) produce a superior $B_0$ homogenity with a comparable footprint, however, a $z$ axis misalignment of 1 mm of a single coil in this arrangement reduces the $B_0$ homogeneity over a 40 cm DSV by over an order of magnitude. In contrast, our bi-planar arrangement suffers only a two-fold decrease in overall homogeneity across the same region for the same misalignment.

The outer $B_0$ coils measure 2.10 m in diameter from the center of the wiring on one side to the other. Each coil contains 163 turns of square 6-AWG polyester-insulated copper wire [MWS Wire Industries, Inc.], wound in a $12 \times 14$-layer configuration, with seven windings across the final top layer. The position of this last layer was also numerically calculated to optimize $B_0$ homogeneity. We used a high-viscosity thermally-conductive epoxy [832TC, MG Chemicals] to bind the wiring tightly onto two circularly-bent aluminum L-channels that are pre-mounted on a large 2.21-m circular aluminum flange whose circumference deviation was less than 2 mm from the mean value. We wound the coils with the flanges in a horizontal position, and then lifted the flange into a vertical orientation for mounting to its frame. Each coil and flange set has a combined mass of $\sim$340 kg. The DC resistance for each coil is $1.1 \Omega$ at 25°C.

The inner coils are co-planar with the outer ones. Each carries four windings using the same square copper wiring as the outer coils, and are bound with the same thermal epoxy to a NylatronTM circular disk. The disks are attached to the main aluminum flanges that hold the outer coils, via spring-loaded screws which allow up to 10 mm adjustments to be made along the $z$ axis for $B_0$ optimization. The DC resistance for each inner coil is $<0.01 \Omega$ at 25°C. Each flange and coil set is separated by $90 \text{ cm}$. The flanges themselves are mounted vertically on a customized frame made from 90 mm x 90 mm extruded aluminum beams with mounting channels [Bosch-Rexroth]. The frame design allows high-precision translational ($\pm 1 \text{ mm}$) and rotational ($\pm 1 \text{ mRad}$) alignment of the flanges before locking the coils into position, and maintaining the desired inter-coil separation to within 1 mm.

All four $B_0$ coils are connected in series to a single DC power supply [Alpha Power, Inc.] capable of providing up to 45 A with a stability better than 15 ppm after modification to incorporate an Ultrastab 867 direct current–current transformer [Danfysik, Denmark] as the input to the current feedback loop. The $B_0$ coils output a magnetic field strength of 1.54 G/A, giving a maximum possible $B_0$ of $\sim 7 \text{ mT}$. The power supply is constantly cooled by a recirculating water chiller [CFT-33, Neslabs, Inc.]. With a more powerful current supply, we expect the $B_0$ system will be able to provide up to 10 mT with good homogeneity.

We typically operate the OAI with $B_0 = 6.5 \text{ mT} (65 \text{ G})$, which is obtained with a current of 42.2 A, and allows $^3\text{He}$ NMR/MRI at 210 kHz. Under these conditions the total resistive heat dissipation—which is essentially all from the outer $B_0$ coils—is approximately 6000–7000 W. We use both active and passive techniques to cool the coils during operation. The outer $B_0$ coils are wound
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**Fig. 2.** Left: The $B_0$ and gradient coils mounted on the aluminum flange. The arrows point to the outer and inner $B_0$ coils. The other circular coils are the $z$ gradient coils, while the rectangular coils are the $x$ and $y$ gradient coils. Right: A view of the imaging region with the imaging axes defined. The $x$ axis points out of the page.

**Fig. 3.** Calculated plot of $B_0$ homogeneity for the biplanar, four-coil arrangement. The ordinate represents the $y$ axis of the imager and intersects the center of the magnet at zero. The abscissa represents the distance from the central $y$ axis, along $z$; thus, one half of the region of interest is shown. Each contour line is a 25 ppm $B_0$ deviation from the center $B_0$. The design target DSV is 0.4 m.
against an aluminum L-channel as part of the mounting flange; thus two sides of the copper wiring are exposed to air and two are in contact with the flange. We applied a silver-based thermally-conductive paste between the L-channel and the flange, allowing the flange to act as a large heat sink for the outer $B_0$ coils. The bulk of the heat removal, therefore, is performed by convective air flow. Additionally, we use a 2000 W recirculating liquid chiller [CFT-175, Neslabs Inc.] and pump a mixture of ethylene glycol and water through two 0.5-inch copper tubes in a counter-current fashion. The coolant is run at 15 °C and is continuously pumped while the OAI is on. When the $B_0$ current is at 45 A, the equilibrium coil surface temperature is <70 °C, while the flange temperature near the cooling tubes never exceeds 40 °C.

Optimization of $B_0$ homogeneity was performed by minute adjustments to the $B_0$ coil/flange positions on their aluminum frame, and by adjustments to the Nylatron frames of the inner coils. After each adjustment, a $B_0$ field map was made from NMR measurements performed with "Coil A", and a 50 cm$^3$ water sample. Once the flanges were locked down, further $B_0$ homogeneity improvements were made by adding passive ferromagnetic shims (6 × 6 inch$^2$ 0.006 inch-thick steel sheets) to the back of the aluminum flanges, just behind the outer $B_0$ coils. A new $B_0$ field map was acquired with each new arrangement and used to guide the following adjustments. As the homogeneity improved we left each new shim arrangement with the $B_0$ on for at least a half hour to allow the steel sheets to thermalize and their magnetization to settle. After several iterations, we achieved an eight-shim arrangement with the 200 A maximum current capability of the gradient amplifiers, near the 200 A maximum current capability of the gradient amplifiers, as well as an initial wiring pattern for each gradient coil.

The $z$ gradients were based on a Maxwell pair configuration with additional correction loops to expand the region of gradient linearity to cover the region of interest. The final $z$ gradient coil design consists of five loops total for each plane, with an inter-planar separation of 88.0 cm. The loops are arranged in three sections: an inner loop of radius 5.0 cm, a middle loop with radius 43.8 cm, and three outer loops wound together with radii 71.0, 71.5, and 72.0 cm, as seen in Fig. 6. The coils were wound around aluminum forms using insulated round 5 AWG solid copper wire [MWS Wire Industries] and set using the same thermal epoxy used for the $B_0$ coils. The photograph in Fig. 2 shows the coil framework. Connections between the coil loops are made in series while the entire planar coil is wired in series to its opposite pair. The DC resistance of the entire $z$ bi-planar gradient set is 0.08 Ω, with an inductance of ~160 μH.

The design for the transverse ($x, y$) gradient coils was based on a planar projection from a cylindrical Golay coil. We used rectangular loops to simplify the calculations and coil construction methods, and as with the $z$ gradients, added more loops to include higher-order corrections to enhance gradient field linearity. We then used the optimization software to determine the ideal loop separations. Fig. 6 shows one such coil arrangement. The $x$ gradient coil planes are separated by 81 cm. The optimal number of loops for each planar coil was 5 for each half (10 total). Coil loop dimensions are given in the figure caption. The width and height of the entire planar coil are both 1.4 m. Each coil is wound around a custom-built Nylatron frame slotted to accommodate the $x$ gradient coil plane on one side and a $y$ gradient coil plane on the other (separated by 1.0 cm). The coil wire and epoxy are the same materials used for the $z$ gradient coils. The $y$ gradient coil planes are almost identical in form to the $x$ coils, except they are oriented orthogonally, separated by 79 cm, and possess slightly different coil loop distances. Both transverse gradient coils possess similar circuit characteristics; the total DC resistance for each bi-planar pair is 0.09 Ω and the inductance is ~90 μH.

All three planar gradient sets are mounted using machined aluminum bars and L-channels to the same aluminum flanges that support the $B_0$ coils. The mounts include slotted brackets that allow 4 cm of translational and 4° of rotational adjustment for each plane. The $z$ gradient coils are mounted independently of the trans-
verse gradients, so the two sets can be adjusted separately. The y gradients are flush with the L-channel support of the outer $B_0$ coils, the resulting 79-cm gap represents the maximum spacing available for the imaging subject. We minimized the potential for eddy current effects by assembling the z gradient frame using plastic and teflon spacers, which prevented electrical contact between the aluminum components. The transverse gradient frames were fashioned entirely out of (electrically-insulating) Nylatron and assembled using nylon screws. Additionally, we cut 50 lm slots in a sunburst pattern in the aluminum flanges from the center to the outer $B_0$ coils to inhibit the flow of any remnant eddy currents.

Each planar gradient set is powered by a Techron 8607 Series gradient amplifier. The gradient coils were designed to have a very low DC resistance to avoid excessive heating; however, the gradient amplifiers require a minimum load of 0.5 $\Omega$ for proper circuit stability and to protect the amplifiers from driving excessive currents. We therefore built a resistor bank to increase the total circuit gradient loop resistance to 0.5 $\Omega$. The bank consists of three separate rows of 300 W, 5 $\Omega$ wire-wound power resistors [MultiComp]. Each row consists of 12 of these resistors wired in parallel, yielding a net resistance of 0.41 $\Omega$. During a typical gradient echo imaging sequence, the peak resistive dissipation can reach as high as 6–8 kW per channel over the course of 10–20 s, which occurs largely in the resistor bank located safely outside of the OAI Faraday cage and away from the imaging area. As the gradient amplifiers themselves are typically matched to a 1 mH inductive load, we reconfigured the compensation circuit on the front board of the Techron amplifiers to achieve inductive matching for this circuit. When driving the gradient coils and resistor bank, each Techron amplifier provided $\frac{1}{24}$ $140$ A peak current, which yielded a maximum gradient strength on each axis of approximately $0.07$ G/cm (i.e., $5 \times 10^{-4}$ G cm$^{-1}$ A$^{-1}$). The measured deviation in gradient linearity was less than 1% for all axes.

## 2.3. RF coils and electronics

We built several solenoidal RF coils for different tasks on the OAI, including $B_0$ mapping, gradient field calibration, phantom NMR studies, and human lung imaging. Table 1 shows specifications for three of the most frequently used coils on our system. These coils operated at $\sim 210$ kHz for $^3$He or $\sim 275$ kHz for $^1$H, corresponding to $B_0 = 6.5$ mT. The tuning and matching capacitors, $C_T$ and $C_M$, for each coil were housed inside a circuit box that was connected by standard coaxial cables, and was distant from the coil itself. We typically added resistors in series with $C_M$ to both raise the coil impedance to 50 $\Omega$ and lower the coil Q factor, which was required for proper bandwidth matching at our unconventionally low operating frequencies. For example, the response function of a coil with a Q of $\sim 20$ (at 210 kHz) is comparable to the 5–10 kHz frequency-encode bandwidth typically used for our imaging experiments.
In particular, for human lung imaging we built a chest coil large enough to cover the thoracic region and allowing the subject’s arms to be kept within the coil. The coil form is made of compressed cardboard cut to a length that reaches from the top of the subject’s hips to the bottom of his or her chin, so that the subject can be imaged in a sitting or standing position and still have access to a $^3$He delivery tube. The coil wire is fixed to the cardboard tube with a fast-acting clear epoxy and wrapped beneath a rubber insulating sheet for safety purposes.

To characterize the $B_1$ profile of the human chest coil, we performed hyperpolarized $^3$He flip-angle calibration measurements, using a method described previously [40]. As shown in Fig. 7, the $^3$He flip-angle, and hence the $B_1$ profile, is well-described by the functional form for the magnetic field created by an ideal solenoid of finite length $L$ and radius $R$ along its central axis at position $x$ [51]:

$$B(x) \propto \left[ \frac{x}{(R^2 + x^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{L - x}{(R^2 + (L - x)^2)^{3/2}} \right]. \quad (1)$$

As expected, we found a variation of $\sim 5\%$ in the flip-angle along the central 30 cm of the coil.

To test sample loading of the human chest coil, we used a Bravo MRI impedance analyzer [AEA Technology Inc.] to obtain standing wave ratio (SWR) plots with the chest coil unloaded and with different human subjects. As shown in Fig. 8, we found that the resonant frequency shifts downwards but the coil Q is unaffected, as expected for low RF frequencies where sample (tissue) noise and loss is generally small [41,43,52]. For imaging subjects weighing between 60–80 kg, the resonant frequency shift is about $\sim 2$ kHz. We therefore shifted the unloaded coil resonance by $\sim 2$ kHz so that the coil resonance would be centered at $\sim 210$ kHz when a typical human subject is present.

The OAI spectrometer consists of an Apollo MR research console [Tecmag, Inc.] for RF and gradient pulse control and low-frequency signal reception. The Apollo includes a 2 kHz to 100 MHz RF transmitter, allowing MRI at 210 kHz without additional mixing hardware. The system includes an external gradient controller with three DSP waveform generators which perform digital preemphasis on the fly, and provide offsets for $x$, $y$, and $z$ shimming.

The transmitter output of the Apollo is connected to a NMR Plus 5LF300S amplifier [Communications Power Corp], which delivers 300 W of RF power across the range of 100 kHz to 1 MHz, and includes blanking circuitry driven by the spectrometer. The amplifier connects directly with a Transcoupler II probe interface [Tecmag] with a 1/4-wave lump element optimized for 200 kHz operation, allowing RF coils to function both for RF transmission and signal detection. The NMR signal is amplified by an AU-1583-9421 preamplifier [Miteq, Inc.], which provides $\sim 36$ dB gain above 200 kHz, before reaching the Apollo receiver.

There can be significant environmental noise within the 200–300 kHz frequency range. Thus the OAI magnet, gradient coils, and RF coil are housed inside a 8 feet wide $\times$ 12 feet long $\times$ 7.5 feet tall Faraday cage [71 Series, Lindgren Inc.] designed to attenuate RF interference in the range of 10 kHz to 10 MHz by up to 100 dB. The upper half is constructed using copper mesh, allowing air flow for convective $B_0$ cooling. All electrical connections from outside are passed into the room using passive filtering boxes that shield out noise above 10 kHz [Lindgren Inc.]. These include a 110 V, 60 Hz power supply for electronics inside the room and power lines for the $B_0$ coils and the preamplifier. The three gradient power lines are introduced into the Faraday cage via three sets of custom
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### Table 1

Design and circuit characteristics of three commonly used RF coils on the OAI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coil name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Turns</th>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$C_t$</th>
<th>$C_m$</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human coil</td>
<td>All $^3$He MRI</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 cm coil</td>
<td>$^1$H MRI</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil A</td>
<td>$^1$H NMR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length and diameter in cm. $L$, inductance in $\mu$H; $R$, resistance in $\Omega$; $C_t$ and $C_m$, tuning and matching capacitance in pF for a conventional series-resonated circuit.
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**Fig. 7.** Measured $^3$He flip-angle versus position along the center axis of the human chest coil oriented for supine lung imaging (i.e., along the imager’s $x$ axis). Flip-angle calibrations were performed on hyperpolarized $^3$He cell #402 which had a 3 cm width along the $x$ axis. Displacement is measured from one end of the chest coil. The solid curve is a fit of the data to the function describing the $B_1$ field created by an ideal finite solenoid.
high-current feed-through passive line filters that produce \( \sim 25 \) dB attenuation at \( \sim 100 \) kHz [Schaffner, Inc.].

3. Demonstration imaging: methods

We typically hyperpolarized \(^3\)He cells to \(10–15\%\) by performing spin exchange optical pumping (SEOP) for \(6–20\) h. Details of the SEOP polarizer and \(^3\)He production can be found elsewhere [42]. For 2D MRI we used gradient-recalled echo (GRE) sequences with flip angle \( \theta \sim 2–5^\circ \), 128 frequency \( \times 64–128 \) phase encodes, \( T_R \sim 60–100 \) ms, \( T_E \sim 30 \) ms. We tested all \(^3\)He imaging sequences with the human chest RF coil oriented in both \( x \) (“supine”) and \( y \) (“upright”) positions. 3D sequences were identical to 2D sequences with the exception of a second phase encode gradient yielding 6–8 slices and the use of centric phase encoding schemes. We tailored the 3D scans to be performed in under 30 s to allow comfortable imaging under a single breath hold. The readout gradients were always applied along the \( z \) axis. For human imaging, this has the advantage that the same gradient can be used as the read gradient for both supine and upright imaging, allowing us to compare images more reliably.

We used simple wooden furniture to enable supine or upright imaging of our human subjects (Fig. 9), with adjustable independent support for the RF coil to minimize transmission of motion from the subject to the coil. In both orientations, the RF coil can be slid away or raised above the subject, allowing the subject to be positioned with ease. For human experiments, subjects took three or four deep breaths of air prior to \(^3\)He inhalation. The hyperpolarized \(^3\)He was delivered from the polarizer to a Tedlar plastic bag. (\(^3\)He \( T_1 > 20 \) min inside the bag.) After filling, the bag was sealed off from the polarizer. Once the subject was at relaxed expiration, a pneumatically-operated valve allowed the subject to inhale 400–500 cm\(^3\) of hyperpolarized \(^3\)He via an inhalation tube. To facilitate the breath hold during imaging, the subject then inhaled an additional 100–150 cm\(^3\) of room air and held their breath for 30–40 s while MR images were acquired. The subject’s blood

Fig. 8. Measured SWR as a function of frequency for the human chest coil unloaded as well as loaded by two different human subjects weighing between 60 and 80 kg.

Fig. 9. The OAI with human subjects in the supine position (left) and the upright position (right).
pressure, heart rate and $S_O^2$ levels were monitored before and after the experiment, in compliance with IRB requirements. All human $^3$He inhalation experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the Partners Human Research Committee of the Brigham and Women’s Hospital, under an inter-institutional IRB agreement with Harvard University.

4. Demonstration imaging: results

We used the OAI to demonstrate human lung $^3$He MRI with a volunteer subject in both the supine and upright sitting positions. In various runs without imaging gradients, we measured $T_1^* = 15$–17 ms for $^3$He inhaled into the lungs, which is consistent with the expected value given the measured $B_0$ homogeneity over the lung volume (see Fig. 4). Fig. 10 shows example human 2D projection and 3D MR lung images. The 2D projection images show well-defined pleural boundaries with SNR $\text{pixel} > 50$. The cardiac profile can be seen in the medial aspect of both right and left lungs, and both lateral and anterio-posterior diaphragm curvature is evident. The image intensity is greatest nearer the middle-to-inferior and lateral aspects of the lung, where the anterio-posterior dimensions of the lung are thickest. The 3D image clearly shows the region where the heart resides, with a larger cavity on the medial aspect of the left lung than on the right, as expected. There is even distribution of $^3$He ventilation throughout the periphery of the lung; and the regions exhibiting the greatest anterio-posterior thickness correspond to the greatest signal intensity in the 2D projection images. There is also a faint $^3$He signal in the left bronchus but not in the right, which we attribute to residual $^3$He remaining in the large airways after the subject’s chaser breath of room air. This result is consistent with anatomy: the left bronchus has a slightly sharper branching angle, and thus gas flow through the region is lower in comparison to the right bronchus.

5. Discussion

The initial human $^3$He lung images obtained with the OAI provide clear definition of different regions of the lung, exhibit good SNR and are artifact-free, for both supine and upright body orientations. These results are a significant improvement over images obtained previously at 3.9 mT using our prototype open-access imager [43,42], and by others at $B_0 < 0.1$ T [46,48]. In future work, we plan to implement slice selection, which will improve the visual quality of 2D anatomical images. We note, however, that slice selection must be employed carefully in quantitative studies such as pulmonary oxygen partial pressure and Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) mapping, due to the rapid diffusion of polarized $^3$He spins into the slice of interest [53,54].

5.1. Image SNR

The nuclear spin polarization of hyperpolarized noble gas is $B_0$-independent. In addition, at very low magnetic fields, electronic Johnson noise of the coil [55], dominates over sample noise. Therefore, the expected SNR for very-low-field NMR of hyperpolarized $^3$He is:

$$\text{SNR} = \frac{(B_0/i)V_r\rho P_{\text{rad}}^2B_0}{2\sqrt{4\pi kT_M f}}.$$  
(2)

Here $(B_0/i)$ is the magnetic field strength (per unit current) of the pickup coil, $V_r$ is the sample volume, $\rho$ is the spin density, $P_\text{rad}$ is the $^3$He spin polarization and $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio for $^3$He; $R$ is the coil resistance, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the pickup coil temperature and $Af$ is the receiver bandwidth (inversely proportional to $T_2^*$). Assuming $P = 0.15$, 500 cm$^3$ of $^3$He diluted into a 6 l total gas volume to represent a lung sample, and taking the calculated value $B_0/i \approx 6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ T $\text{A}^{-1}$ for our solenoidal chest RF coil at room temperature, Eq. 2 yields an expected SNR $\approx 240,000$ for a typical $^3$He $T_2^* \sim 15$ ms in the OAI. The pixel SNR then can be calculated using the relationship [56]:

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{pixel}} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{N_t}{N_0} (\text{SNR}) e^{-\frac{\theta}{\gamma_t} \sin \theta}.$$  
(3)

where $N$ is the number of pixels in an $N \times N$ image, $N_0$ is the number of pixels encompassing the object, SNR is given by Eq. (2), $t_{\text{acq}}$ is the echo acquisition time and $\theta$ is the flip angle. For a 2 cm slice, $N = 256$, $N_0 = 150$ (assuming 2 mm pixels across a $30 \times 30$ cm lung), matched filter condition ($t_{\text{acq}}/T_2^* = \pi/2$), and a flip angle of $10^\circ$, we find an expected SNR $\text{pixel} \sim 50$.

This estimate agrees well with our observed values of SNR$_{\text{pixel}}$ from the images in Fig. 10. The 2D images without slice selection have SNR$_{\text{pixel}} \sim 25$–80 (supine) and 50–140 (vertical), while the 1.5 cm image planes from the 3D dataset have SNR$_{\text{pixel}} \sim 40$–60 (central slices) or 15–30 (peripheral slices). These images were acquired with the following parameters: $N = 128$, $N_0 = 75$, $\theta = 4^\circ$. Eq. 3 can therefore be used to calculate the maximum sample SNR that would have been observed from a single 90$^\circ$ RF pulse in these experiments. For the 2D projection images, maximum theoretical sample SNR $\sim 100,000$–150,000, while for the 3D dataset, which was acquired after optical pumping of $^3$He with a novel, wavelength-narrowed laser source, SNR $\sim 280,000$. These results clearly demonstrate that SNR suitable for pulmonary functional imaging, i.e., SNR$_{\text{pixel}} \sim 50$, is currently obtainable using the OAI with our helium polarization apparatus. Improvements currently being performed to our helium polarizer to increase production rate and yield of hyperpolarized $^3$He will only increase the attainable SNR. [Note: the maximum theoretical SNR calculated above.
was not observed in practice, as low-flip angle excitations are used to preserve the hyperpolarized \(^3\)He magnetization.

5.2. \(^3\)He \(T_2\) in human lungs at 6.5 mT

There are several major contributors to \(T_2\) for \(^3\)He in the lung:

\[
\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T_{2,\text{in}}} + \frac{1}{T_{2,\text{sus}}} + \frac{1}{T_{2,\text{diff}}} + \frac{1}{T_{2,\text{grad}}},
\]

where \(T_2\) is the intrinsic decoherence rate, set primarily by \(T_1\) relaxation due to motion of \(^3\)He atoms past paramagnetic O\(_2\) molecules (\(T_2 \approx T_1 \approx 10\) s for typical pulmonary O\(_2\) concentration [57]); \(T_{2,\text{in}}\) is the contribution from B\(_0\) inhomogeneity; \(T_{2,\text{sus}}\) arises from magnetic susceptibility-induced gradients in the local magnetic field; \(T_{2,\text{diff}}\) describes decoherence due to \(^3\)He diffusion through local field gradients; and \(T_{2,\text{grad}}\) accounts for decoherence due to diffusion through applied imaging field gradients. Eq. 4 can be re-written with explicit expressions for each \(T_2\) contribution:

\[
\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{\Gamma [O_2]}{2} + \frac{\gamma \Delta B_0}{2} + \frac{\gamma \Delta B_0}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2 C^2 T E^2 D}{D + \frac{\gamma^2 C^2 T E^2 D}{12}},
\]

where \([O_2]\) is the pulmonary O\(_2\) concentration and \(\Gamma\) is the associated rate constant for \(^3\)He relaxation (\(\approx 2.62\) bar s\(^{-1}\) [58]); \(\gamma\) is the gyromagnetic ratio; \(\Delta B_0 \approx 0.04\) G is the B\(_0\) inhomogeneity in the OAI across a typical lung; \(\Delta B \approx 9 \times 10^{-6}\) [59] is the magnetic susceptibility difference between tissue and gas, \(l \approx 20\) mm is the characteristic gas diffusion length in the lung before hitting a boundary; \(D \approx 0.15\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) is the \(^3\)He restricted diffusion coefficient inside the lung and mixed with \(37^\circ\)C air; \(G\) is the imaging gradient (\(\approx 0.05\) G cm\(^{-1}\) in the OAI and 1 G cm\(^{-1}\) in a clinical scanner); and TE is the echo time of the imaging experiment (\(\approx 30\) ms in the OAI, 4 ms in a clinical scanner).

Table 2 summarizes the typical \(^3\)He \(T_2\) contributions for the entire lung at 1.5 T using clinical MRI scanners and at 6.5 mT using the OAI. Note the greatly reduced influence of \(T_{2,\text{sus}}\) and \(T_{2,\text{diff}}\) at low B\(_0\). Effects from \(T_{2,\text{grad}}\) are similar at both fields because the characteristic diffusion length traveled by the \(^3\)He nuclei during the sampling acquisition time greatly exceeded a limiting value of 3–4, which should enable \(^3\)He \(T_2 > 40\) ms.

5.3. Limit to \(^3\)He lung image resolution using the OAI

The limit to lung image spatial resolution, \(\Delta x\), using the OAI and assuming sufficient \(^3\)He SNR during a single breath-hold, is dependent on both \(T_2\) and the diffusive properties of \(^3\)He, as described by

\[
\Delta x = 1.34 \left( \frac{\Delta x_{\text{diff}}}{\Delta x_{\text{polarize}}} \right)^{1/2}\]

Here, \(\Delta x_{\text{polarize}}\) is the \(T_2\)-based resolution limit, and \(\Delta x_{\text{diff}}\) is the \(^3\)He diffusion-based resolution limit. \(\Delta x\), and by implication the spectral linewidth, sets fundamental limits on resolution for any MRI system. To achieve a spatial resolution of N pixels across a sample, the imaging system must operate at a bandwidth of \(N \times FWHM\), where \(FWHM = \Delta x\). This places a limit based on the magnetic field gradient strength \(G\) [56]:

\[
\Delta x_{\text{diff}} = \frac{2}{\gamma G T_2}\]

For \(G \approx 0.1\) G/cm and \(T_2 \approx 15\) ms, both typical values for \(^3\)He on the OAI, \(\Delta x_{\text{diff}} \approx 4.1\) mm. The diffusive properties of \(^3\)He gas provide a similar limitation to the available imaging resolution. \(\Delta x_{\text{diff}}\) is set by the characteristic diffusion length traveled by the \(^3\)He nuclei during the sampling acquisition time \(t_{\text{acq}}\):

\[
\Delta x_{\text{diff}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 D t_{\text{acq}}}{C^3}}\]

Given \(t_{\text{acq}} = \pi T_2 \approx 47\) ms (matched filter conditions) and \(D = 0.15\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) for \(^3\)He gas in residual air in human lungs: \(\Delta x_{\text{diff}} \approx 1.2\) mm. Combining the results for \(\Delta x_{\text{diff}}\) and \(\Delta x_{\text{polarize}}\) into Eq. 6, the overall resolution limit for the open-access imager under typical conditions is \(\Delta x \approx 3.6\) mm, close to the value of 3.9 mm actually obtained in our lung images. A doubling of the z gradient strength to \(G = 0.2\) G/cm, as discussed below, would reduce \(\Delta x_{\text{diff}} \approx 2.1\) mm and the corresponding value of \(\Delta x \approx 2.3\) mm, similar to the resolution of \(^3\)He MRI images obtained at 1.5 T (\(2 \times 20\) mm) [7,8,10].

Obtaining useful images at image-resolution limits is only possible if sufficient SNR is available for such image resolution.Using Eq. 3, and a flip-angle, \(\theta = 4^\circ\), we can calculate that to achieve an image with a minimum SNR\(_{\text{polarize}} \approx 5\), the minimum total SNR from the sample must be \(\approx 6000\) for a 2D projection image and \(\approx 35000\) for a 3D image at the currently obtainable image-resolution limit of 3.6 mm. As discussed earlier in this section, such SNR values are routinely exceeded, and SNR\(_{\text{polarize}}\) at a resolution of 3.9 mm has greatly exceeded a limiting value of 5, generally by at least an order of magnitude. To achieve an image resolution limit of 2.3 mm, maximum SNR will need to be higher than in the 3.6 mm-resolution case. For a 2D projection image with a resolution \(\approx 2.3\) mm, a maximum sample SNR \(\approx 12000\) is required, while for a 3D image with 2 cm slice thickness, SNR of \(\approx 70000\) will be necessary. Again, such values of SNR are achievable with our current polarizer hardware, and therefore we can expect images with sufficient SNR at a limiting-resolution of 2.3 mm once gradient hardware is modified to allow this resolution to be achieved.

5.4. Hardware performance

The design of the OAI system was guided by an understanding of opportunities and limitations encountered in the operation of the prototype open-access imager described previously [43]. These centered around a few key areas: (i) RF coil design, minimal loading by human subjects at low field, and the use of high-Q coils at low frequencies; (ii) gradient strength and resulting concomitant field effects; and (iii) noise filtering, especially on gradient lines. Below, we briefly describe our approach in these areas.

We implemented a single transmit-receive chest coil for human MRI, in order to avoid coil alignment and cross-talk problems experienced previously. Additionally, given the orientation of B\(_0\) in the OAI, we chose to use a solenoid coil design. As shown in Figs. 7 and 8, the solenoid coil exhibits a highly uniform B\(_1\) field in which coil loading by human subjects is negligible. Hence we can easily calibrate the excitation RF flip angles beforehand, rather than having...
to include such calibrations as part of every quantitative MRI sequence. Integrated flip-angle calibration is essential in high-field scanners for functional lung imaging techniques such as oxygen-mapping, where the excitation flip angle must be known precisely [9,10], which make this method time-consuming and complex on such scanners. Our combination of low-frequency operation and a highly-uniform solenoidal coil form will drastically simplify such measurements in the OAI.

A second unusual feature of performing MRI at very-low-field relates to the frequency bandwidth of the coil, and how for tuned coils with high Q, this approaches the imaging bandwidth of an MRI experiment [43]. At high frequencies, resonant high-Q coils are used to maximize NMR signal sensitivity in a condition where the frequency bandwidth of the coil is always much greater than the imaging bandwidth; e.g., at a Larmor frequency of 63 MHz, a tuned coil with a Q ~ 100 results in a coil response function with full-width half-maximum ~630 kHz. In the OAI, by contrast, high-Q coils are problematic; at a Larmor frequency of 200 kHz, a tuned receive coil with a Q of greater than ~20 results in a coil response function that significantly attenuates a typical frequency encoding bandwidth of 20 kHz. The use of a high-Q receive coil in this instance would result in the frequency-encoded signal outside of the narrow coil response function being attenuated significantly [43,47], possibly to the extent of being unrecoverable via post-processing. Thus, we have employed low-Q coils with a high filling factor design to mitigate the issue of finite coil bandwidth at low frequencies [60–62]. Lowering the Q results in a slight, but inevitable trade-off in image SNR [60,62], however, the large signal obtained from hyperpolarized samples allows this to be tolerated to some degree. As a result, we have obtained images (Fig. 10) with high SNR that do not suffer variable attenuation across the image frequency range due to a convolution of the coil response on the image data, non-uniform noise floors (as were observed previously and which required considerable post-processing in order to partly correct the effect [43]).

We also intentionally employed low gradient strengths in the OAI to avoid concomitant field effects from the gradient field approaching the value of $B_0$. For the current operating conditions ($B_0 \sim 7\, \text{mT}$, $\delta B \sim 1\, \text{mT/m}$ or $0.4\, \text{mT/40 cm FOV}$), we estimate that there is radial distortion of an image due to concomitant field effects with a radius of curvature of 8 m for the maximum values of our encoding gradients [63]: $\sim20$: the acquired FOV for lung imaging. Correspondingly, our lung images (Fig. 10) are free of any radial distortion. We note that the gradient strength in the OAI could still be doubled by adding a second gradient amplifier in series to the first, thereby increasing imaging speed without any significant imaging artifacts due to concomitant field effects.

As discussed in Section 2.3 above, isolation of the OAI from environmental noise in the range ~100 to 300 kHz was realized by housing the magnet system in a Faraday cage, and using filters on the $B_0$ power supply lines and RF and electrical power connections. Filtering out noise on the gradient lines while passing common gradient slew frequencies ($\sim1$ to 2 kHz) was especially challenging for MRI at these frequencies, since the Techron gradient amplifiers output excessive noise in the 100–300 kHz range, and commercial MRI gradient filters do not block noise at this frequency range. However, the custom Schaffner filters we employed in the OAI provided more than an order of magnitude attenuation of gradient line noise relative to the previous prototype low-field imager [43].

While the discussion in Section 5.2 above highlights the benefits of operating at $B_0 \sim 0.1\, \text{T}$, we note that a slight increase in $B_0$ (~2 to 5) would significantly ease constraints on the areas of imager design discussed above. Higher-Q RF coils could be used to increase detection sensitivity further, while narrow coil bandwidth issues would be reduced. Higher gradient strengths could be used without concern for concomitant gradient effects, and in combination with wider bandwidths would allow a greater exploitation of the very long $T_2^*$ values observed in this $B_0$ regime. These additional improvements could be achieved while still operating below the “body-noise dominance” region, and so maintaining the benefits of consistent $B_0$ calibration without coil-loading effects, and minimal $T_2^*$ losses due to susceptibility effects. As stated in Section 2.1, the magnet was designed to operate at almost twice the $B_0$ currently used for our imaging experiments, and this higher $B_0$ will be achieved with a future upgrade to the magnet power supply.

Finally, we note that as the main magnetic field and operating RF frequencies of the OAI are extremely low in comparison to typical clinical MRI scanners, the OAI is operated well within the FDA approved limits for deposited RF power (SAR), gradient slew rate ($\delta B/\delta t$), and acoustic noise. SAR scales with $B_0^2$ hence for the OAI, SAR for a typical FLASH imaging experiment are $\sim40,000\times$ below those experienced in a clinical imager, and $10^7$ times below FDA limits. $\delta B/\delta t$ does not exceed 5 T/s on the OAI when reached the maximum gradient strength—a value for $\delta B/\delta t$ that is 4 times lower than the FDA limit. Additionally, the gradient coils in the OAI operate at their maximum currents and slew rates without the generation of any audible acoustic noise, owing to very low Lorentz forces at our very low $B_0$ strength.

6. Conclusions

We have developed and begun operation of an open-access, very-low-field MRI system for in vivo hyperpolarized $^3$He lung imaging as a function of subject posture. The imager is based on a bi-planar $B_0$ coil design that has been optimized to operate at 65 G (6.5 mT), enabling $^3$He NMR and MRI at 210 kHz. The imager includes three-axis bi-planar gradient coils that leave a 79 cm inter-coil gap for subject access. The direction of the $B_0$ field allows the use of a single solenoidal RF coil for subjects in all postures, providing an invariant $B_1$ field at all postures. Additionally, at these frequencies, the coil is unperturbed by sample loading effects, enabling accurate pre-calibration of RF excitation flip angles.

We have obtained 2D and 3D $^3$He images of phantoms with up to 2.8 mm resolution; and 2D and 3D $^3$He human lung images with subjects either sitting vertically or lying horizontally. The images exhibit high SNR, clear definition of lung regions and the effects of the chest cavity on the lungs, and are artifact-free. The image quality is superior to any previous $^3$He human lung imaging performed at $B_0 < 0.1\, \text{T}$. This initial result indicates that the open-access lung imager will provide posture-dependent pulmonary functional imaging with a resolution and sensitivity sufficient for pulmonary physiology research and diagnosis. Initial pulmonary functional studies are currently being performed using the system.
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